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Abstract

The liberal international order currently experiences a manifest legitimacy crisis, which is often associated with attributes, such as “Brexit”, “Trump”, or, more generally, the rise of anti-liberal forces challenging the prevailing liberal international order (LIO). I contend that the current crisis of the LIO is, at least in parts, a homemade crisis. While the LIO exhibits self-reinforcing characteristics, it simultaneously provokes reactive sequences, which weaken the existing institutional order. In a first step, I characterize the progressive institutionalization of the LIO as a path-dependent development, characterized by self-reinforcing mechanisms: Ideational self-reinforcement highlights the stickiness of the rationalizing promise of IOs, operating as putatively unbiased and technocratic problem-solvers. Functional and power-based returns stabilize the existing order since the LIO has also created beneficiaries with vested interests. In a second step, I argue that these self-reinforcing mechanisms have triggered a self-undermining process, which is poised to destabilize the LIO by breeding dissatisfaction with the LIO. The interplay of two characteristics of the LIO – IO’s predominantly technocratic legitimation rationale as well as the political authority they command – are responsible for creating a normative legitimacy gap contributing to the erosion of its social legitimacy, i.e. the legitimacy beliefs held by the LIO’s constituents. To illustrate the plausibility of this self-undermining process, I turn to a highly developed sub-order of the LIO: The EU presents a ‘most-likely case’ in which the conditions triggering self-undermining tendencies should be present and particularly strong.